

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/874,434	ZHONG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> José A Fortuna	<b>Art Unit</b> 1731

**All Participants:**

(1) José A Fortuna.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Glen D. Barnes.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called applicants' representative to propose that the aspect ratio gap were added to the claims to define what is to be considered a high aspect ratio. Mr. Barnes agreed with the proposed changes, see examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)